



**MINISTER  
FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT  
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**

**GENERAL PUBLISHED REASONS FOR DECISIONS ON APPEAL  
IN THE SMALL PELAGIC (ANCHOVY) SECTOR  
FISHING RIGHT ALLOCATION PROCESS 2021/2022**

## INTRODUCTION

1. These are the General Published Reasons for the Decisions on appeal in the Small Pelagic sector: (Anchovy) 2021/2022 by the Minister of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (Minister). This document is titled the "*General Published Reasons for Decisions on Appeal in the Small Pelagic (Anchovy) Sector- Fishing Right Allocation Process 2021/2022*" (the Appeals GPR).
2. The Appeals GPR is structured as follows:
  - 2.1 Introduction;
  - 2.2 Systematic/Cross cutting Grounds of Appeals;
  - 2.3 Quantum Allocation Methodology (QAM);
  - 2.4 Outcome of the Appeals;
  - 2.5 Conclusion; and
  - 2.6 The final allocation of commercial fishing rights in the Anchovy sector is set out in **Annexures A, B and C** to the Appeals GPR.
3. During February 2022, the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (the Department) completed the Fishing Rights Allocation Process of 2021/2022 (FRAP 2021/22), in the Small Pelagic (Anchovy) sector. Ms Susan Clare Middleton; the Deputy Director General: Fisheries Management, in her capacity as the Delegated Authority, published her decisions in respect of the FRAP 2021/2022 in the "*General Published Reasons for the Decisions on the Allocation of 2021/22 Fishing Rights and Quantum in the Small Pelagic (Anchovy) Sector*" (GPR).
4. Applicants who were dissatisfied with the Delegated Authority's decision were entitled to appeal against the decision(s) of the Delegated Authority, in terms of section 80 of the Marine Living Resources Act 18 of 1998 (MLRA), read with regulation 5 of the Regulations to the MLRA, via the Department's FRAP Appeals online system. The closing date for FRAP 2021/2022 appeals was 29 April 2022. In response to several requests from the fishing industry, the closing date was extended to 29 July 2022. The Department received two hundred and thirty (230) appeals in the Small Pelagic (Anchovy) sector across the different categories of applicants.
5. Appeals are governed by section 80 of the MLRA read with Regulation 5(3) of the Regulations to the MLRA, published under Government Notice R1111 in Government Gazette 19205, dated 2 September 1998.

6. This Appeals GPR addresses the issues raised in the Appellants' grounds of appeal, and it sets out how I, in my capacity as the Appeal Authority in terms of section 80 of the MLRA, dealt with these issues to determine and decide the appeals in general.
  
7. I note, at the outset, that in making my decisions on the appeals that have been submitted against the decisions of the Delegated Authority, I considered and balanced a wide range of factors. These include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - 7.1 The principles and objectives derived from the Constitution that are relevant to the FRAP 2021/2022;
  - 7.2 The principles and objectives of the MLRA as stated in section 2 thereof;
  - 7.3 The purpose and objectives of the 2021 General Policy on the Allocation of Commercial Fishing Rights: 2021 (the 2021 General Policy) read with the various sector specific policies on the allocation of commercial fishing rights: 2021 (the Sector Specific Policies);
  - 7.4 The need to broaden access to the fishing industry, by introducing new entrants to the various fisheries;
  - 7.5 The need for transformation of the fishing industry to achieve equity and to address historical imbalances;
  - 7.6 The desirability of multi sector involvement, facilitating participation through the value chain;
  - 7.7 The need to minimise negative impacts on the fishing industry, including instability or disruption of existing participation in job creation, and minimising job losses;
  - 7.8 The need for sustainable development of the natural resource through, among other, the determination of the Total Allowable Catch (TAC) and/or Total Applied Effort (TAE);
  - 7.9 The need to address the dynamics of each specific fishery;
  - 7.10 The need to minimise the risk of paper quota holders;
  - 7.11 The adjustments that need to be made where related entities have applied for rights in the sectors;
  - 7.12 The need to assess applicants within a category against other applicants in the same category so that new entrants are not unfairly prejudiced on certain criteria where existing right holders may score higher;
  - 7.13 The desirability of giving successful applicants a reasonable prospect of active and meaningful participation in the fishery;

7.14 The Constitutional Court judgment in the matter of *Bato Star (Pty) Ltd v Minister of Environmental Affairs and Tourism and Others (CCT 27/03) [2004] ZACC*, which provides important guidelines for me to consider when I exercise my duties as gate-keeper of the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (the Department).

8. In arriving at my decisions, I also had regard to the following:

8.1 The 2021 General Policy is a guideline document on the allocation and granting of commercial fishing in terms of section 18 of the MLRA. The granting of rights in the Small Pelagic (Anchovy) sector will be guided by the 2021 General Policy on the Allocation and Management of Commercial fishing rights read with the Sector Specific Policy on the Allocation and Management of Commercial Fishing Rights in the Small Pelagic Sector: 2021 (the Sector Specific Policy).

8.2 The 2021 General Policy and the Sector Specific Policy are based on, among other, the Constitution, the MLRA, the Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment Act, 2003 (Act No. 53 of 2003) (BBBEEA), the National Empowerment Fund Act, 1998 (Act No 105 of 1998) (NEFA), the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act, 2000 (Act No 3 of 2000), the Promotion of Access to Information Act, 2000 (Act No 2 of 2000), and the Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No. 4 of 2013) (POPIA).

8.3 The 2021 General Policy and the Sector Specific Policy give effect to the objectives of the MLRA as listed in Section 2 thereof. The objectives identified in section 2 of the MLRA are the following:

- (a) *The need to achieve optimum utilisation and ecologically sustainable development of marine living resources;*
- (b) *the need to conserve marine living resources for both present and future generations;*
- (c) *the need to apply precautionary approaches in respect of the management and development of marine living resources;*
- (d) *the need to utilise marine living resources to achieve economic growth, human resource development, capacity building within fisheries and mariculture branches, employment creation and a sound ecological balance consistent with the development objectives of the national government;*

- (e) *the need to protect the ecosystem as a whole, including species which are not targeted for exploitation;*
- (f) *the need to preserve marine biodiversity;*
- (g) *the need to minimise marine pollution;*
- (h) *the need to achieve to the extent practicable a broad and accountable participation in the decision-making processes provided for in this Act;*
- (i) *any relevant obligation of the national government or the Republic in terms of any international agreement or applicable rule of international law; and*
- (j) *the need to restructure the fishing industry to address historical imbalances and to achieve equity within all branches of the fishing industry."*

8.4 The objective of transformation of the fishing industry is a constitutional and legislative imperative. The primary vehicle for the promotion of the transformation of the South African fishing industry is the MLRA. Therefore, in exercising any power under the MLRA, regard must be given to the stipulated objectives and principles set out in section 2 of the MLRA with measures to achieve the objective to restructure the fishing industry, to address historical imbalances and to achieve equity within the fishing industry.

8.5 The assessment of appeals is undertaken per the different categories of applicants to ensure that new entrants are not prejudiced when compared to existing rights holders. Those applicants who held rights in the fishery for which they are re-applying during the period 2006 to 2020 are considered as Category A applicants. Applicants who held rights in sectors other than the fishery they are applying for during the period 2006 to 2020 are considered as Category B applicants. Applicants who did not hold commercial fishing rights during the period 2006 to 2020 are considered as Category C applicants. Category B and C applicants are also referred to as "new entrant" applicants.

9 In determining each of the appeals, I considered all relevant factors and the information before me, including but not limited to:

9.1 The Marine Living Resources Act, 1998 (Act No 18 of 1998);

9.2 The Regulations in terms of the Marine Living Resources Act;

9.3 General Policy on the Allocation of Commercial Fishing Rights: 2021;



- 9.4 The Policy for the Allocation and Management of Commercial Fishing Rights in the Commercial Small Pelagic fishery: 2021;
  - 9.5 The FRAP applications;
  - 9.6 The Delegated Authority's GPR dated 28 February 2022;
  - 9.7 The Delegated Authority's decision letters;
  - 9.8 The Appeal forms;
  - 9.9 Regulation 5 (3) reports; and
  - 9.10 Relevant case law
- 10 Where necessary and appropriate, the Appeals GPR refers to individual grounds of appeal. However, the Appeals GPR does not respond to each appeal and to every allegation by individual appellants made therein. Specific grounds of appeals which are not addressed in the Appeals GPR, are dealt with in the individual appeal decisions that will be sent to appellants.
- 11 Each appellant in the sector will receive the following documents:
- 11.1 The individual Appeal Decision, incorporating the reasons for such decision;
  - 11.2 Where applicable, a copy of the adjusted score sheet on appeal;
  - 11.3 Entities who are allocated an Anchovy right on appeal will receive a Grant of Right letter; and
  - 11.4 The Appeals GPR will be published on the Department's website.
- 12 The Appeals GPR is final. However, the allocation of fishing rights is subject to the correctness of the assertions made and information submitted by the applicants / appellants, and performance reviews. If any information in the online application or online appeal process is found not to be true or complete, or if false information is provided, or material information is not disclosed, this may lead to the revocation, suspension, cancellation, alteration or reduction, in terms of section 28 of the MLRA, of any right, license or permit granted on the strength of the FRAP 2021/2022 application or appeal.

## SYSTEMATIC/CROSS CUTTING GROUNDS OF APPEAL

### Provision of new information on appeal

- 13 Section 8.4 of the 2021 General Policy regulates information to be considered during the application process and provides *inter alia* that:
- 8.1 Information to be considered
- 8.1.1 The approach set out below will be adopted by the Delegated Authority regarding information to be taken into account for assessing the applications:
- (a) ...
  - (b) Late information
- Information submitted after closing date for applications will not be considered.
- 14 Whilst the provisions in the various applicable policies are couched in strict terms, I am aware that a policy serves as a guide to decision-making and cannot bind the decision-maker inflexibly. In this regard, I am guided by the Constitutional Court's judgement in the case of *Bato Star Fishing (Pty) Ltd v Minister of Environmental Affairs*<sup>1</sup> where the court held that an approach to procedural fairness requires that policy not be applied rigidly and inflexibly.
- 15 Moreover, appeals in terms of section 80 of the MLRA are regarded as wide appeals which entail a reconsideration of the application and may include consideration of additional information.
- 16 In light thereof, where appellants sought to provide additional information to their application forms, on appeal, based on the wide powers afforded to me in my capacity as the Appeal Authority, I considered such further information, provided that the information was in existence at the time when the appellant submitted its original application. This was to ensure that the fairness of the competitive process was not compromised.
- 17 Similarly, where appellant's provided information on appeal to address any exclusionary criterion, this information was considered provided that it was valid and in existence at the time of the closing date for applications, and the exclusion was overturned.

---

<sup>1</sup> (2004 (7) BCLR 687 (CC).

### Calculation of Scores

- 18 Several applicants argued that they were not able to test the correctness of the scores which the Delegated Authority allocated to on certain aspects of the application form because they were not clear on how these scores were calculated.
- 19 In my view, the Delegated Authority provided sufficient information on the calculation of scores. These are set on the Delegated Authority's GPR, read with the scoresheets.
- 20 Nevertheless, I have set out below the manner in which certain calculations were applied, relevant to the specific sections appeals were raised in. In addition, an excel file will be published on the DFFE website, which sets out each step in the calculation of scores.

### **Section 5: Fishing Performance**

- 20.1. Fishing performance was assessed for Category A applicants only. Applicants were screened and evaluated on whether, and to what degree, they had utilized their rights allocated during the previous rights allocation period (2006-2020) in the Small Pelagic Sector.
- 20.2. Category A applicants in the Anchovy fishery were separated into two Subcategories: (i) Category A Full - those who had received an annual anchovy allocation in the previous rights allocation period; and (ii) Category A Partial - those who held a Right during the previous period but had not received an annual anchovy allocation because they were sardine-only right holders. The fishing performance of Category A Full applicants was assessed by comparing their confirmed catches with allocations of Total Allowable Catch during the previous rights allocation period. This information was verified using Departmental allocation and catch data for the period 2007 to 2020 only. Data for 2006 was excluded because at that time, the appeal process was not yet finalized and there had been certain periods of fishing exemptions. Data for 2021 was also excluded as this year fell out of the previous allocation period. The limitation of data up until 2020 also avoided recent Section 21 of the MLRA transfer of rights actions (combination of rights).
- 20.3. Allocations and catches were summed for the 2007-2020 period and the ratio of *catch: allocation* was calculated and expressed as a percentage for each applicant. Fishing performance scores for each Category A Full applicants were based on their *catch: allocation* percentage, scaled to the



maximum achieved for all Category A Full applicants, using Table 6, below. Fishing performance scores based on anchovy could not be calculated for Category A Partial applicants, and calculating scores based on their catch: allocation ratio for sardine was not done because it was considered that doing so would positively bias these applicants compared to Category A Full applicants, given the substantially higher utilisation rates in the sardine sector compared to the anchovy fishery. Category A Partial applicants were therefore assigned the average of the fishing performance scores awarded to Category A Full applicants.

Table 6: Awarded scores for different levels of a Category A Full applicant's catch: allocation

1	<u>Catch: Allocation %</u>	2	<u>Score</u>
3	<u>&lt;11</u>	4	<u>0</u>
5	<u>&gt;=and &lt;21</u>	6	<u>2</u>
7	<u>&gt;=21 and &lt;41</u>	8	<u>4</u>
9	<u>&gt;=41 and &lt;61</u>	10	<u>6</u>
11	<u>&gt;=61 and &lt;81</u>	12	<u>8</u>
13	<u>&gt;=81</u>	14	<u>10</u>

## Section 6: Transformation

20.4. Transformation was regarded as a key criterion and a number of indices were used to evaluate the various levels of transformation achieved by each applicant. Applicants were rewarded for maintaining and/or improving their ownership profile and employment of black people (as defined in terms of the B-BBEE 53 of 2003 and Codes of Good Practice) women, youth and people living with disabilities between 2005/06 and 2020/21. Scores were awarded for employee share schemes, expenditure on corporate social investment, compliance with Employment Equity, affirmative procurement, skills development and enterprise development.

20.5. Question 6.03: Scores were awarded based on an applicant's present transformation level in terms of shareholding/membership interest held by black persons (as defined in terms of the B-BBEE 53 of 2003 and Codes of Good Practice), women, youth and persons with disabilities, for all categories of applicants. Category A and B applicants were assessed on the data for the year 2020, and Category C applicants were assessed on the data for the year 2021. The change in transformation

level was also assessed for Category A and B applicants. This was not done for Category C applicants because many of these are recently formed companies or close corporations. The change for Category A applicants was calculated for the period 2006-2020, and for Category B applicants it was calculated for the longest period for which data was supplied (e.g. 2006-2020; 2013/14-2020; 2018-2020 or 2019-2020).

- 20.6. Present transformation level scores were calculated for all applicants by: (i) summing the values provided for the percentages of black people, women, youth, and people living with disabilities employed (maximum = 400%; i.e. if everyone employed by that applicant were young, black women living with disabilities) in the appropriate year; (ii) normalizing that sum relative to the maximum sum for applicants in that Category only, such that the normalized value for the applicant with the highest sum in that Category would be 100; and (iii) awarding a score from 1 to 12 based on that normalization whereby applicants that had a normalized value of were awarded 12 scores and those that had a normalized value of <80 were awarded a score of one twelfth (1/12) of their normalized value expressed as a proportion and not a percentage.
- 20.7 The change in transformation level was calculated for Category A and B applicants only (insufficient data was available for Category C applicants), by: (i) summing the values provided for the percentages of black people, women, youth, and people living with disabilities employed (maximum = 400%) for the start and end years of the assigned period; (ii) subtracting the summed value at the start of the period from that at the end of the period and expressing the resultant value as a percentage of the starting value; (iii) normalizing that value by dividing it by the maximum change in transformation calculated for applicants in that Category only; and then (iv) dividing that normalized value by the average change in transformation value for all applicants in that Category only. The final score for Q6.3 was the sum of the values calculated for present transformation level and change in transformation level, with substantially more weighting given to an applicant's present transformation level than their change in transformation level. A maximum score of 12 could be achieved for this question; applicants who provided no data for this question were awarded a score of zero.
- 20.8. Applications were scrutinised to ensure that applicants had provided actual numbers on each transformation group and not percentages in relation thereto, or where this information was not provided, information was sought from Question 6.4 of the application form and from the attached

CIPC documents, and where applicable was used to recalculate or calculate their transformation scores.

- 20.9. Question 6.6: If applicants answered "Yes" to Question 6.5 to having an employee share scheme, system points were awarded as follows based on the percentage supplied by the applicant in response to Question 6.06: 0-10 %=1 points, 11-20%= 2 points, 21-30=3 points, 31-40%= 4 points, 41-50%=5 points, 51-60%= 6 points, 61-70%= 7 points, 71-80% =8 points, 81-90%=9 points, >90%=10 points.
- 20.10. Question 6.07: All applicants (Category A, B and C) were awarded 2 points for every year during the years 2016 to 2020, in which a capital payment was made to an employee ownership scheme. The points out of 10 were scaled up to 100 and a score was awarded according. Applicants scored zero (0) points for years in which no information was provided.
- 20.11. Question 6.10, all applicants (Category A, B and C) were awarded points for the percentage of their wage bill that was paid to previously disadvantaged individuals across 4 classes, i.e. Race (Black, Coloured, Indian, Chinese), Gender, Youth and disabled persons according to Table 8 in the Delegated Authority's GPR. Points awarded for gender, youth and disability were doubled and added to the score for race, giving a higher weighting to classes other than race. The total score was normalized to the maximum score across all applicants within a particular category (A, B or C) and scaled up to a score out of 24.
- 20.12 Question 6.14 and Question 6.15 are interlinked. Scores could not be awarded based on the percentage of an applicant's turnover or profit that was spent on Corporate Social Investment (CSI) in each year over the period 2019 to 2021 as the data provided by applicants in response to Question 6.15 was considered unreliable and in some cases not credible. Scores were thus based on the actual amounts (in Rand) spent on Corporate Social Investment (CSI) that were provided by applicants in their answers to Question 6.15, relative to the average amount expended by all applicants in that Category only. The CSI spend of each applicant in a given year was expressed as a proportion of the average CSI spend by applicants in that Category only for that year, and an average CSI proportion was then determined for each applicant only using years for which data was provided. Applicant scores were based on these average CSI proportions using table 9 on page 29 of the Delegated Authority's GPR. However, because the average CSI spend per category per year was strongly impacted by the small number of applicants that had indicated a substantial

CSI spend in all three categories, almost all proportion values were  $< 1$ , hence values  $> 1$  were set to 1. Applicants that did not provide information for this question received a score of zero.

### **Section 7- Job Creation**

20.13 Category A Full applicants were assessed in terms of their number of permanent employees (Question 7.1 and seasonal employees (Question 7.2) relative to their average anchovy allocation (tonnes) during the period 2018 -2020. The total number of permanent and seasonal employees provided in the application form was multiplied by the proportion of employees in the small pelagic sector for each component and divided by the average allocation (in 1000 t) to derive a jobs/ 1000 t value. This was translated into a score from 1 to 10 for permanent employees based on Table 10 in the Delegated Authority's GPR, and a score from 1 to 5 for seasonal workers.

20.14 Category A Partial, Category B and Category C applicants were assessed in terms of their total number of permanent employees (Question 7.1) and seasonal employees (Question 7.2). This was similarly translated into a score from 1 to 10 for permanent employees and a score from 1 to 5 for seasonal workers, although the ranges over which scores applied had to be adapted to the particular category. Applicants that did not provide information for either of these questions received a score of one.

### **Section 8- Dividends and Additional Societal Benefits**

20.15 Consideration was given to the amount of income tax paid by applicants as well as the annual payment of dividends to shareholders and the percentage of black shareholding. Local economic development and value adding activities were also taken into consideration.

20.16 Question 8.4: All applicants' (Category A, B and C) benefits accrued to society were calculated from data provided on total income tax paid to Revenue Services (in Rand); annual dividends paid to black shareholders (in Rand); annual dividends paid to shareholders (in Rand); the number of issued shares; and, the average share price (in Rand). The annual total income tax paid and annual dividends paid to black shareholders were summed for the period 2007 to 2020, whereas the number of annual issued shares and the annual average share price was averaged over the period 2007 to 2020. The final benefit value was calculated from the sum of the total tax paid, dividends paid to black shareholders and the average number of shares issued, multiplied by the



average share price. For Category A Full applicants, this value was divided by the total anchovy TAC allocation for the period from 2007 to 2020 to derive a benefit per tonne value (Rand per tonne). For Category A Partial, B and C applicants, the value was used as calculated (Rand benefit) and not compared to any previous TAC allocation. Values calculated were converted to a score out of 10 using the category specific values and score in Table 11 in the Delegated Authority's GPR.

## **Section 9: Investment**

20.17 Consideration was given to the amount that an applicant had invested in a vessel(s), marketing and fish processing. In contrast to Section 4: Access to a Suitable Vessel, investment in any type of fishing vessel, whether suitable for operation in the Small Pelagic Sector or not, was scored positively. For Category A Full applicants, the total rand value (insured value) of the applicant's total fixed assets in 2020 in the small pelagic sector was used as the value for investment. This investment value was divided by the total TAC allocation for the applicant summed from 2007 to 2020 to derive an estimate of investment per ton (Rand/tonne). Based on that value, a score from Table 14 at page 38 of the Delegated Authority's GPR, was assigned to the applicant. For Category B and C applicants, the (insured value) of the applicant's total fixed assets in 2020 was used with no consideration of TAC allocations in other sectors. Table 14 in the Delegated Authority's GPR: Awarded points for investment (Rand/tonne for category A Full applicants and Rand for category A Partial, B and C applicants).

### Access to Information

21. Several appellants alleged a lack of access to information with respect to the FRAP 2022 and/or reasons to verify their scores.
  
22. In the relevant Regulation 5(3) reports, the Delegated Authority responded that in the release of information, the Department has complied with the provisions of PAIA and POPIA. The POPIA prohibits the Department from sharing third-party confidential information and details without their consent. Applicants were able to request further information as per the process set out in the Delegated Authority's GPR, in accordance with PAIA and POPIA. I note that spreadsheets were made available on the Departmental website to assist applicants with understanding the scoring



methodology used. The Appellant was also able to request further information via [CSCapplications@dffe.gov.za](mailto:CSCapplications@dffe.gov.za) as stated in the in the Delegated Authority's GPR.

23. I am cognisant that section 32 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996, affirms the Appellant's right to access information held by the State;<sup>2</sup> and that the PAIA gives effect to the constitutional right of access to any information held by the State and enables a person to fully exercise and protect all of its rights.
24. The 2021 General Policy<sup>3</sup> and the GPR under section 6 on page 16 give provisions for access to information. The GPR stipulates that every applicant will receive: a notification letter informing the applicant of the Delegated Authority's decision, together with the reason for that decision and the manner in which Appeals must be submitted; a scoresheet indicating the applicant's score; access to the electronic copy of the General Published Reasons. Furthermore, the GPR sets out provisions for accessing other applicants' information by using PAIA. The GPR also prescribes provisions and conditions upon granting access to information for the other applicants' applications.
25. I note that subsequent to releasing the GPR, the Delegated Authority also addressed notification letters to applicants informing them that they may the scoresheets used to record the assessment of every application in the fishery at the Department's Offices, Foretrust Building, Martin Hammerschlag Way, Foreshore, Cape Town on the Departments FRAP online system.
26. The Department published on its website the relevant score sheets and quantum allocation methodology formulae, albeit in a redacted format, so as to comply with the POPI Act. Considering all this information I find that applicants were indeed provided with all the necessary information and data, in order to determine whether they have, in fact, been correctly scored and whether quantum/effort has been allocated in a reasonable and correct manner. Each applicant also received a notification letter informing them of the Delegated Authority's decision on their application, together with the reason for that decision and the manner in which appeals must be submitted.

---

<sup>2</sup> Section 32 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa 1996.

<sup>3</sup> Clause 9.

27. The letters together with the GPR and the information published on the Departments website was found to be sufficient and reasonable. Appellants were also able to lodge and canvass detailed appeals on their scoring issues undertaken by the Delegated Authority.
28. Furthermore, if an applicant wanted to access application forms of other applicants, they should have taken further steps to request requested such further information in terms of the procedures and provisions of the PAIA, including an appeal in respect of such information granted by the relevant information officer, that it was still dissatisfied with.
29. I note that this Appeals GPR only seeks to address overarching issues that apply to several of the appeals as categorised above. Scoring issues on specific questions by individual entities requires that this document and the calculation formulae explanations provided herein, must be read together with individual Appeal Decisions.

#### **OUTCOMES OF THE DELEGATED AUTHORITY**

30. On the comparative balancing criteria, the Delegated Authority determined that Category A applicants with a Final Score 40% would be granted a right and that applicants with a Final Score of less than 40 (i.e. <40) would not be successful. This cut-off level was taken as indicating a poor overall performance by previous Right Holders and was implemented so as to enable the incorporation of New Entrants (including those who were previously active in the Small Pelagic Sector but only fished for sardine) into the anchovy fishery. Rather than excluding poor performers by using a cut-off score of 50, to ensure fairer approach, poor performers had their previous % TAC allocations slightly reduced.
31. The top ten (10) scoring Category B applicants and the top ten (10) scoring Category C applicants (in terms of their Final Score), were examined in detail on the **following criteria**:
  - 31.1. Evidence of developed or in-development methods for anchovy product beneficiation and hence value-adding to industrial fish;
  - 31.2. Demonstrated investment in fishing-related industries;
  - 31.3. Their previous job creation performance;

- 31.4. Their location as broadening access to impoverished coastal regions, particularly on the east coast, was considered important.
32. Ten percent (10%) of the TAC was reserved for appeals.

#### **Category A**

59 of the 78 Category A Applicants were successful sharing 93.550 % of the TAC,

#### **Category B**

3 of the 94 Category B Applicants were successful sharing 2.97 % of the TAC.

#### **Category C**

6 of the 174 Category C applicants were granted rights in this sector with a combined TAC of 3,66 %.The Delegated Authority in awarding rights in this sector recorded the following in the GPR at paragraph

### **OUTCOME OF THE APPEALS**

33. There was a total of 230 (Two hundred and thirty) appeals in this sector. Below is an overview of the outcome:
- 33.1. *Category A*
- Eleven (11) additional Category A appellants now qualify on appeal to be awarded a right, having scored higher than the threshold. The re-evaluation of all successful applicant/appellants in terms of their performance results in some previous above average performers become below average performers and vice-versa. These adjustments have been incorporated in my appeal decisions.
- 33.2. *Category B*

One (one) Category B appellant now qualifies to be awarded a right on appeal

33.3. *Category C*

No additional applicants qualify to be awarded a right on appeal

## REVISED CALCULATIONS

34. Where I upheld the grounds of appeal relating to the calculations of the Delegated Authority that had either been incorrectly calculated or the incorrect formula applied for the scores, I did the following:

34.1 To ensure that I achieve a fair and consistent outcome, the calculations of all the applicants in the sector was revised. It was important to revise all the calculation based on the correct formula to ensure that a like-for-like comparison between the applicants per category could be achieved.

34.2 The revised calculations revealed that there was no material impact on any of the applicants in the sense that none of the successful entities were prejudiced.

34.3 Importantly, the revised calculations are separate from the method used to calculate the quantum.

## QUANTUM ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY (QAM) ON APPEAL

35. The DA allocated 100% of the TAC to successful applicants but withheld 10% of the TAC tonnage for appeals (100% of 270 000t was allocated instead of 90% of 300 000t).

36. The determination of quanta was based on a TAC of 270 000t with 10% of the 300 000t TAC held in reserve for appeals. 100% of the TAC was allocated.

37. The allocation of TAC was as follows:



- 37.1. The starting point was to consider each successful Cat A's % of anchovy TAC held during the previous rights process;
  - 37.2. Successful Cat A's whose previous %TAC would result in them being allocated <1500 t (the minimum quantum) of the final TAC had their % TAC increased;
  - 37.3. Each applicant was then allocated TAC such that no entity received less than 1500 t;
  - 37.4. the % TAC previously held by unsuccessful/excluded Category A applicants was made available for new entrants;
  - 37.5. The 2 largest successful Cat A right holders (i.t.o their previous allocations) had their TAC values reduced by 10%;
  - 37.6. The next 3 largest successful Cat A's had their %TAC reduced by 8 % so as to make a larger proportion of the TAC available for new entrants and successful Cat A applicants that previously had TACs less than 1500t;
  - 37.7. This reduction in allocation as well as the allocation from Category A applicants that were unsuccessful resulted in 16% of the TAC being available for reallocation to new entrants.
  - 37.8. This TAC was redistributed to the new entrants in the Anchovy Sector per the delegated authority's decision. Of these, 18 entities were Category A applicants who previously held rights in the Sardine fishery only;
  - 37.9. Successful Cat A applicants were divided into 10 percentile (%-ile) groups according to the size of their previous allocations and their performance was evaluated relative to the average of that group. Applicants that performed higher than average had their initial allocation increased slightly whereas applicants that performed below average had their allocations reduced slightly.
38. I note the rationale of the Delegated Authority and the balancing of considerations undertaken in adopting the quantum allocation methodology and the allocation of rights that was undertaken per the FRAP, specifically in homage to the General Policy and the advice of the Assessment team. I have thus followed a similar in having consideration to:
- 38.1. the number of successful applicants in each category;
  - 38.2. the level of access to fishing vessels, investment in the sector and job creation amongst others;
  - 38.3. the anticipated anchovy TACs over the short to medium term; and
  - 38.4. the determination of a minimum viable allocation.



- 38.5. a projected average of 300 000 t was used as it is anticipated that the anchovy TAC will decrease sharply over the next few years as the resource is under strain.
39. On appeal I have decided that the 10% reserved TAC tonnage (30 000t) is to be distributed amongst the 11 successful Category A appellants, and the 1 successful Category B appellant using the DA's allocation method (minimum of 1500t) as well as incorporating the evaluation of performance of Category A appellants during the previous rights period.
40. In order to accommodate these additional entities, the 10% reserved TAC allocation (30 000 t) to be distributed amongst the 11 successful Category A appellants, and the 1 successful Category B appellant using the DA's allocation method (minimum of 1500t, evaluation of performance of Category A appellants during the previous rights period) and that any remaining TAC be distributed pro rata amongst all successful entities who performed above average (apart from the Top 5 largest A's).
41. The re-evaluation of all successful applicants/appellants in terms of their performance results in some previous above average performers becoming below average performers and vice-versa. The % allocation by the DA is therefore no longer supported and all successful applicants/appellant's allocations have been adjusted. As the 10 % reserve for appeals was subsequently released, the previous allocations by the DA had to be reduced by 10% so as to accommodate that release decision.
42. As a result, to accommodate the additional entities successful on appeal, the largest 2 companies (West Point Fishing Corporation (Pty) Ltd and Lucky Star Limited) have their % of the TAC reduced by 19% while the next three largest companies (Pioneer Fishing West Coast (Pty) Ltd, Premier Fishing SA and Amawandle Pelagic (Pty) Ltd) have their % of the TAC reduced by 17.2%. Above average performers have their % TAC allocation increased by 4.046% and below average performers have their % allocations decreased by 37%.
43. Paragraph 4.3 of the Grant of Right letters advised right holders that the TAC "may be reduced or increased, in the manner and circumstances set out in the GPR and after reserved decisions, appeals and reviews have been finalised".

44. The above Quantum allocation methodology seeks to ensure amongst other, a more equitable distribution of TAC across all right holders, whilst maintaining stability in the sector and promoting small to medium enterprises and transformation.
45. The final list of commercial fishing rights holders in the Anchovy sector are set out in:
- 41.1. **Annexure A** (Category A);
  - 41.2. **Annexure B** (Category B); and
  - 41.3. **Annexure C** (Category C).

## CONCLUSION

46. This decision will be effective from of the commencement of the 2024 fishing season.
47. Section 80 of the MLRA deems me to be the Appeal Authority over decisions of the Delegated Authority and I have wide appeal powers in terms thereof. I have the power on appeal to award fishing rights, and to overturn the decisions of the Delegated Authority to allocate rights, including decisions related to TAC, where such decision-making is rationale, fair and in line with the provisions of the MLRA and specific policies.
48. Should any appellant be dissatisfied with any aspect of my decision(s), it may apply to a competent court to have this decision judicially reviewed. Judicial review proceedings must be instituted within 180 days of notification hereof, in accordance with the provisions of section 7 of the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act, 2000 (Act No. 3 of 2000) (PAJA).



MS B D CREECY, MP

MINISTER OF FORESTRY, FISHERIES AND THE ENVIRONMENT

DATE: 20/12/2023



**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
1	SPA21298	Afro Fishing Workers (Pty) Ltd		38,22		EXCLUDED
2	SPA21058	Ithuba Yethu Fishing (Pty) Ltd		40,65		EXCLUDED
3	SPA21202	PATERNOSTER VISSERY EDMS BPK		63,11		EXCLUDED
4	SPA21235	Pioneer Fishing (West Coast) (Pty) Ltd	Ruwekus, Sechaba, Duinekus, Viva, Lisa Ann	83,06	15432,2	SUCCESSFUL
5	SPA21022	82 Boundary Road CC	Wafra DDF377, Wildegans, Sparticus	50,45	2369,6	SUCCESSFUL
6	SPA21234	Al-Aman Fishing	MFV Kalahari, MFV Alert III, MFV Star Crest, MFV Wildekus	62,07	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
7	SPA21060	AMAWANDLE PELAGIC (PTY) LTD	OCEANA MERCURY, OCEANA ROBY, OCEANA ORION, EMERALD ISLE, OCEANA VIKING, OCEANA PARAGON, STAR CREST, WILDEKUS, ALERT III	84,63	19720,1	SUCCESSFUL
8	SPA21199	Arniston Fish Processors (Pty) Ltd	Oceana Paragon	69,93	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
9	SPA21044	Azanian Fishing (Pty) Ltd	NOSSOB	56,26	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
10	SPA21001	BALOBI PROCESSORS (PTY) LTD	Sparticus, Boetie Akie	78,94	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
11	SPA21276	Basic Trading Company (Pty) Ltd	VIVA, RUWEKUS	48,62	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
12	SPA21182	Bayana Bayana Fishing CC	Sparticus	55,73	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
13	SPA21168	Bluefin Holding (Pty) Ltd	Atlantic Enterprise	66,78	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
14	SPA21286	Cape Fish Processors (Pty) Ltd	Oceana Pegasus	62,05	3589,7	SUCCESSFUL
15	SPA21080	Cape Pilchard Pioneer CC	VIVA	56,30	3503,5	SUCCESSFUL
16	SPA21036	COMBINED FISHING ENTERPRISES (PTY) LTD	RIETGANS II, VLEIGANS, BERGGANS, KOLGANS, WAFRA, STORMGANS, WILDEGANS II, SILVER SNAPPER	57,58	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
17	SPA21299	Community Processors and Distributors (Pty) Ltd	Towerkop	47,64	2552,3	SUCCESSFUL
18	SPA21379	Dromedaris Visserye (Pty) Ltd	To be nominated	43,35	4375,6	SUCCESSFUL
19	SPA21069	Dyer Eiland Visserye (Edms) Bpk	UMFONDINI	55,67	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
20	SPA21038	Edwards Fishing CC	Viva, Ruwekus	55,74	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
21	SPA21327	Eigelaars Bote (Pty) Ltd	Noordeveld, Atlantic Leader, Nossob, Eigeveld	74,92	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
22	SPA21138	Extra Dimensions 70 (Pty) Ltd	MFV Kavalier, MFV Gregory Justin, MFV Elomi	74,08	4164,6	SUCCESSFUL
23	SPA21109	Eyethu Fishing (Pty) Ltd	MFV Zolani, MFV Duinekus, MFV Ruwekus	73,35	4714,2	SUCCESSFUL
24	SPA21083	Fisherman Fresh CC	Fred Marie	81,40	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
25	SPA21033	GANSBAAI MARINE (PTY) LTD	BERGGANS, RIETGANS II, KOLGANS, WAFRA, STORMGANS, WILDEGANS II, SILVER SNAPPER	66,19	7149,7	SUCCESSFUL



**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
26	SPA21183	HS Williams Fishing CC	Oceana Pgonara	54,86	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
27	SPA21092	Impala Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Ebb Tide, SW Falcon	70,23	4235,3	SUCCESSFUL
28	SPA21284	Ithemba Labantu Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Scomber	58,20	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
29	SPA21026	Ixia Trading 501 (Pty) Ltd	Ocean Blue, Silver Snapper	61,68	2257,5	SUCCESSFUL
30	SPA21078	Jaffa s Bay Fishing CC	South West Falcon	50,75	2451,6	SUCCESSFUL
31	SPA21129	JALOERSBAAI (PTY)LTD	BELLA PRIMA, BERGGANS DDF 278, VLEIGANS DDF368, WAFRA DDF377, SILVER SNAPPER DDF116, WILDEGANS DDF362, STORMGANS DDF392, RIETGANS DDF402, KOLGANS DDF109	42,20	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
32	SPA21035	JC Fishing CC	MFV Borderer	53,65	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
33	SPA21115	Khulani Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Alpha	53,88	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
34	SPA21059	Komicx Products (Pty) Ltd	MFV Gondo	84,47	1550,0	SUCCESSFUL
35	SPA21366	Latief Albertyn Fisheries CC	Annabella Rose	54,44	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
36	SPA21046	LETAP CC	BERGGANS	80,85	7242,9	SUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
37	SPA21057	LUCKY STAR LIMITED	WILDEKUS, STAR CREST, ALERT III, OCEANA NEPTUNE, OCEANA MERCURY, OCEANA CONCORDE, OCEANA RUBY, OCEANA ORION, EMERALD ISLE, OCEANA VIKING, OCEANA PARAGON, OCEANA PEGASUS, TOWERKOP, VEGKOP, ARANOS	80,53	41993,7	SUCCESSFUL
38	SPA21363	Manetrade 2094 CC	Stormkop	54,97	2673,5	SUCCESSFUL
39	SPA21356	MARION DAWN FISHING cc	VLEIGANS	57,65	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
40	SPA21053	Masomelele Fishing (Pty) Ltd	MFV BORDERER	54,49	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
41	SPA21191	Mayibuye Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Ocean Blue	62,69	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
42	SPA21077	Meermin Visserye (Pty) Ltd	Borderer	54,37	2189,6	SUCCESSFUL
43	SPA21157	Mount Pleasant Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Atlantic Endeavor	53,40	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
44	SPA21052	Noordbaai Vissers (Pty) Ltd	MFV SAMROCK	54,62	3137,6	SUCCESSFUL
45	SPA21020	Ntshonalanga Fishing (Pty) Ltd.	Gondo	45,73	2142,3	SUCCESSFUL
46	SPA21205	Offshore Fishing Company(Pty)Ltd	Duinekus	60,41	2654,5	SUCCESSFUL
47	SPA21345	Okuselwandle Fishing CC	Borderer	54,86	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
48	SPA21118	Pelagic Fishing Enterprises (Pty) Ltd	Towerkop, Aranos, Vegkop	49,12	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
49	SPA21155	PENGUIN VISSERYE CC	Ocean Blue	41,14	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
50	SPA21240	PHAKAMISA FISHING (PTY) LTD	VIVA, Lisa Ann	49,67	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
51	SPA21037	Premier Fishing SA (Pty)Ltd	Adenia, Sothern Belle, Mizpah	73,07	15778,9	SUCCESSFUL
52	SPA21179	Raaff Fisheries CC	Atlantic Enterprise	49,57	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
53	SPA21229	Risar Fishing CC	Kalahari , Alert III, Wildekus, Star Crest	64,72	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
54	SPA21218	SCEPTRE FISHING (PTY) LTD	DAGBREEK, SAMROCK	81,58	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
55	SPA21047	Sea Harvest Corporation (Pty) Ltd	Atlantic Enterprise, Sagax	87,44	1582,2	SUCCESSFUL
56	SPA21025	Sea Point Fishing CC	Elomi	51,16	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
57	SPA21056	Sinethemba Fishing CC	Oceana Paragon	63,56	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
58	SPA21101	Soundprops 1167 Investments (Pty) Ltd	Boetie Akie	69,50	9244,7	SUCCESSFUL
59	SPA21279	South East Atlantic Sea Products (Pty) Ltd	Scomber	68,77	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
60	SPA21303	STAMATIS FISHING CC	OCEANA PARAGON	64,01	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
61	SPA21024	The Cape Peninsula Linefisherman CC	Ocean Blue	63,29	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
62	SPA21096	Tiradeprops 153 (Pty) Ltd	Atlantic Enterprise, Alert III, Oceana Concorde	48,21	8035,6	SUCCESSFUL
63	SPA21244	Trademane (Pty) Ltd	OCEANA PARAGON, UMADALA	54,50	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
64	SPA21066	TRAKPROPS 22 PTY LTD	Sette Mari	54,03	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
65	SPA21162	Ukloba Fishing (Pty) Ltd	Ukuloba, Gondo, Annabelle Rose	66,75	3386,4	SUCCESSFUL
66	SPA21248	ULWANDLE FISHING (PTY) LTD	ARONOS, VEGKOP, TOWERKOP	71,83	2825,4	SUCCESSFUL
67	SPA21103	Umzamani Fishing CC	Loeriesfontein	68,70	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
68	SPA21064	UMZAMOWETHU (OYSTER BAY) FISHERMENS CORPORATION CC	RIETGANS II	46,45	2019,4	SUCCESSFUL
69	SPA21095	Visko Sea Products (Pty) Ltd	Umfana, Antonie WII, Oom Arthur	82,27	1664,5	SUCCESSFUL
70	SPA21131	VM Young Visserye Bk	Elomi	57,47	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
71	SPA21040	WEST POINT FISHING CORPORATION (Pty) LTD	SILVER BOUNTY, SILVER CHALLENGER, SILVER DOLPHIN, SILVER KATONKEL, TERRASAN, SETTE MARI, ATLANTIC LEADER, NOSSOB, NOORDEVELD, EIGEVELD	73,65	34535,9	SUCCESSFUL
72	SPA21175	Yoluntu Sea Products CC	Sechaba	40,31	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category A applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
73	SPA21233	Zimele Fishing Enterprises	Kalahari , Alert III, Wildekus, Star Crest	60,18	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
74	SPA21362	J Engelbrecht Visserye CC		31,09		UNSUCCESSFUL
75	SPA21350	Laaggety Visserye CC		36,14		UNSUCCESSFUL
76	SPA21352	Reiger Visserye BK		31,16		UNSUCCESSFUL
77	SPA21384	Marinata Vissersvroue Organisasie CC		36,94		UNSUCCESSFUL
78	SPA21364	Palm Springs Fishing		33,63		UNSUCCESSFUL



### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
1	SPA21130	Abba Langebaan Fishing CC		21,99		EXCLUDED
2	SPA21112	AT ALL TIMES FISHING (PTY) LTD		40,52		EXCLUDED
3	SPA21388	Atlantico Sea Fisheries Pty(Ltd)		20,11		EXCLUDED
4	SPA21416	Coastal Trawlers		5,50		EXCLUDED
5	SPA21414	Global Pack trading 193 (Pty) ltd		20,95		EXCLUDED
6	SPA21293	Nascimento Fishing CC		27,00		EXCLUDED
7	SPA21300	Silver Solutions 2092 Cc		27,35		EXCLUDED
8	SPA21387	ARGENTO TRADING 69 CC	BRESSA	78,61	2472,6	SUCCESSFUL
9	SPA21126	Atlantis Seafood Products (Pty) Ltd	OCEAN BLUE	77,47	2472,6	SUCCESSFUL
10	SPA21111	Nalitha Fishing Group Pty Limited	WATER BABY	73,59	2472,6	SUCCESSFUL
11	SPA21002	Rustee (Pty) Ltd	Sparticus, T83 to be built	71,38	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
12	SPA21206	Red Hawk Fishing cc		29,49		UNSUCCESSFUL
13	SPA21294	A Penglides (Pty) Ltd		28,66		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
14	SPA21141	AFD FISHING CC		66,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
15	SPA21072	AGULHAS FISHING (PTY) LTD		26,58		UNSUCCESSFUL
16	SPA21360	Alicente Fishing (PTY) Ltd		45,86		UNSUCCESSFUL
17	SPA21032	Allie-Vis Fishing Enterprises cc		51,91		UNSUCCESSFUL
18	SPA21098	Amaqobela Fishing (Pty) Ltd		35,17		UNSUCCESSFUL
19	SPA21207	ANG JERRY FISHING CC		64,56		UNSUCCESSFUL
20	SPA21320	ARROW LINE FOURTEEN		33,42		UNSUCCESSFUL
21	SPA21071	AX FISHING (PTY) LTD		34,27		UNSUCCESSFUL
22	SPA21003	Balobi Fishing Enterprises ( PTY) LTD		76,48		UNSUCCESSFUL
23	SPA21065	BATSILVA (PTY) LTD		31,62		UNSUCCESSFUL
24	SPA21027	Biz Afrika 1504 (Pty) Ltd		52,78		UNSUCCESSFUL
25	SPA21128	BIZ AFRIKA 32 (PTY)LTD		40,28		UNSUCCESSFUL
26	SPA21355	BMC VISSERYE BK		45,39		UNSUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
27	SPA21236	BOAT ROCK FISHING CC		46,34		UNSUCCESSFUL
28	SPA21256	Changing Tide 113(Pty)Ltd		41,92		UNSUCCESSFUL
29	SPA21088	Chapmans Seafood Company (Pty) Ltd		48,68		UNSUCCESSFUL
30	SPA21190	Chetty's Fisheries CC		41,80		UNSUCCESSFUL
31	SPA21195	COMMUNITY WORKERS FISHING ENTERPRISES (PTY) LTD		44,95		UNSUCCESSFUL
32	SPA21274	DD REID FISHERY CC		39,41		UNSUCCESSFUL
33	SPA21176	Dippa Distributors (Pty) Ltd		56,75		UNSUCCESSFUL
34	SPA21063	During Visserye Bk		28,45		UNSUCCESSFUL
35	SPA21010	El Calamar (Pty) Ltd		64,13		UNSUCCESSFUL
36	SPA21317	FG Fishing Enterprises		29,51		UNSUCCESSFUL
37	SPA21287	finecorp trading 113 cc		22,24		UNSUCCESSFUL
38	SPA21301	FINMAN 213 CC		43,23		UNSUCCESSFUL
39	SPA21189	GAMKA FISHING (PTY) LTD		62,99		UNSUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
40	SPA21009	GGA Fishing Enterprizes (Pty) Ltd		73,88		UNSUCCESSFUL
41	SPA21067	Gibbiseps Visserye Pty Ltd		52,42		UNSUCCESSFUL
42	SPA21391	GOLD BLACKWOOD TRADING AND INVESTMENT (PTY)LTD		27,91		UNSUCCESSFUL
43	SPA21170	Hacky Fishing (Pty) Ltd		45,53		UNSUCCESSFUL
44	SPA21198	Hentiq 1173 (PTY)Ltd		47,13		UNSUCCESSFUL
45	SPA21011	INGWE EMNYAMA FISHING ENTERPRISES (PTY) LTD		62,45		UNSUCCESSFUL
46	SPA21012	Interfish (Pty) Ltd		69,46		UNSUCCESSFUL
47	SPA21254	Isivile Masikhane (Pty) Ltd		37,02		UNSUCCESSFUL
48	SPA21197	J&J Visserye		53,34		UNSUCCESSFUL
49	SPA21099	J-BAY SQUID CATCHERS (PTY) LTD		37,76		UNSUCCESSFUL
50	SPA21076	Julies Vissery Bk		24,85		UNSUCCESSFUL
51	SPA21201	Kalmia Trading 1001 cc		52,96		UNSUCCESSFUL
52	SPA21325	Klipbank Visserye Personeel (Pty) LTD		30,99		UNSUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
53	SPA21204	Korana Fishing Pty Ltd		28,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
54	SPA21221	KREEFBAAI VISSERYE (PTY) LTD		40,99		UNSUCCESSFUL
55	SPA21260	Kupukani Fishing (PTY) LTD		41,71		UNSUCCESSFUL
56	SPA21243	Kusasa Commodities 245 (Pty) Ltd		57,23		UNSUCCESSFUL
57	SPA21224	Kusasa Commodities 63 Pty Ltd		31,43		UNSUCCESSFUL
58	SPA21252	Lavender Moon Trading 49 CC		30,37		UNSUCCESSFUL
59	SPA21004	LM FISHERIES (PTY) LTD		66,48		UNSUCCESSFUL
60	SPA21015	Merca Fishing (Pty) Ltd		57,56		UNSUCCESSFUL
61	SPA21134	Mictel Fishing cc		38,77		UNSUCCESSFUL
62	SPA21007	MTV Fishing St Francis Bay (Pty) Ltd		75,81		UNSUCCESSFUL
63	SPA21055	Nati si Nako fishing cc		42,43		UNSUCCESSFUL
64	SPA21261	NPS Agencies CC		39,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
65	SPA21144	Ocean Ukhozi Fishing (Pty) Ltd		70,44		UNSUCCESSFUL



### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
66	SPA21253	Pakamani Fishing (Pty) Ltd		38,81		UNSUCCESSFUL
67	SPA21238	PELIKAAN VISSRYE (PTY) LTD		35,26		UNSUCCESSFUL
68	SPA21220	PJF MARINE CC		40,98		UNSUCCESSFUL
69	SPA21272	Rietvlei Fishing CC		44,11		UNSUCCESSFUL
70	SPA21054	Safrika fishing cc		40,84		UNSUCCESSFUL
71	SPA21222	Sea Haven Fishing Holdings Pty Ltd		38,60		UNSUCCESSFUL
72	SPA21409	Seafreeze Fishing (Pty) Ltd		30,12		UNSUCCESSFUL
73	SPA21288	Sereteng Fishing CC		19,66		UNSUCCESSFUL
74	SPA21369	Sevlac Investments No. 51CC		33,62		UNSUCCESSFUL
75	SPA21341	SIYAPHUHLISA TRADING (PTY) LYTD		38,26		UNSUCCESSFUL
76	SPA21139	Sizabantu Fishing Corporation (Pty) Ltd		50,39		UNSUCCESSFUL
77	SPA21167	Sneeuberg Fishing (Pty) Ltd		37,08		UNSUCCESSFUL
78	SPA21159	South African Fishing Empowerment Corporation (Pty) Ltd		61,83		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
79	SPA21073	Spring Forest Trading 295 CC		18,93		UNSUCCESSFUL
80	SPA21340	St Jakob & Vennote CC		31,19		UNSUCCESSFUL
81	SPA21074	T&N Visserye Bk		28,35		UNSUCCESSFUL
82	SPA21188	TAMARIN FISHING (PTY) LTD		62,98		UNSUCCESSFUL
83	SPA21150	TARIDOR FIVE CC		55,00		UNSUCCESSFUL
84	SPA21005	The Jenny Fishing Enterprises (Pty) Ltd		73,66		UNSUCCESSFUL
85	SPA21174	The Tuna Hake Fishing Corporation Ltd		57,76		UNSUCCESSFUL
86	SPA21374	TIMOWIZE (PTY) LTD		38,54		UNSUCCESSFUL
87	SPA21154	TITANCOR ELEVEN CC		50,30		UNSUCCESSFUL
88	SPA21008	Trawl Investments CC		66,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
89	SPA21343	Ukuloba Kulungile Investments (Pty) Ltd		53,71		UNSUCCESSFUL
90	SPA21232	VALLEY RIVER TRADING 265 CC		24,21		UNSUCCESSFUL
91	SPA21146	Valortrade 1143 cc		50,33		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category B applicants / appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
92	SPA21335	XSTINCT TRADING		32,67		UNSUCCESSFUL
93	SPA21075	York Point Fisheries CC		38,75		UNSUCCESSFUL
94	SPA21192	ZIMKHITHA FISHING (PTY)LTD		69,41		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
1	SPA21359	BELLARIA FISHING PTY LTD		43,77		EXCLUDED
2	SPA21211	BENGUELA FISH SHOP		52,19		EXCLUDED
3	SPA21029	Chinafric Fishing (Pty) Ltd		45,25		EXCLUDED
4	SPA21231	Garlinton Investments (Pty) Ltd		36,26		EXCLUDED
5	SPA21237	Gwaza Corporation (Pty) Ltd		36,26		EXCLUDED
6	SPA21239	Khuyakhanyo Primary Co-Operative Limited		43,30		EXCLUDED
7	SPA21137	Linomtha Fishing PTY)Ltd		38,09		EXCLUDED
8	SPA21275	MASMANYANE FISHING (PTY) LTD		53,58		EXCLUDED
9	SPA21257	Moon Light Fishing Velddrif		32,51		EXCLUDED
10	SPA21266	MUSTANG FISHING (PTY) LTD		35,82		EXCLUDED
11	SPA21375	SOTH EASTERN FISHING (PTY) LTD		25,08		EXCLUDED
12	SPA21413	South African Pelagic Fishermen s Union		32,44		EXCLUDED
13	SPA21361	Yanginkosi (Pty)Ltd		29,85		EXCLUDED

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
14	SPA21323	DELMAR MARINE		41,65		EXCLUSION
15	SPA21322	ELECMATIX GENERAL		24,69		EXCLUSION
16	SPA21347	Seeweg Visserye (pty)LTD		37,15		EXCLUSION
17	SPA21329	Siphumelele fishing (PTY)LTD		19,06		EXCLUSION
18	SPA21123	Afro Fishing Pty Ltd	Umadala, Ocean Blue, Borderer	78,49	3472,6	SUCCESSFUL
19	SPA21127	Khanyisile Fishing (Pty) Ltd	OCEAN BLUE	78,16	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
20	SPA21145	MFV Bella Prima Vessel Company (Pty) Ltd	Bella Prima	71,26	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
21	SPA21013	Schooner View Fish Traders (Pty) Ltd	Colette	64,81	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
22	SPA21042	Thalassa Investments (Pty) Ltd	MFV SAMROCK	76,34	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
23	SPA21019	Uvimba Trading and Supplies (Pty) Ltd	Colette, Sparticus	72,26	1500,0	SUCCESSFUL
24	SPA21223	ABASEBENZI NGEENTLANZI		44,21		UNSUCCESSFUL
25	SPA21178	Mnatha Marine Technologies (Pty) Ltd		60,29		UNSUCCESSFUL
26	SPA21285	ABALOBI BENTLANZI (PTY) LTD		34,38		UNSUCCESSFUL



**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
27	SPA21230	ABANTU BASELWANDLE		46,08		UNSUCCESSFUL
28	SPA21148	AFRICAN COMMUNITY FISHING (PTY) LTD		59,12		UNSUCCESSFUL
29	SPA21084	Algoaspace (Pty)Ltd		49,85		UNSUCCESSFUL
30	SPA21079	All Seas Fishing		50,23		UNSUCCESSFUL
31	SPA21344	Allied Fishing (PTY) LTD		37,34		UNSUCCESSFUL
32	SPA21017	AMAQHAWWE ASELWANDLE (PTY) LTD		56,81		UNSUCCESSFUL
33	SPA21371	AMIABLE 8 TRADING (PTY)LTD		28,60		UNSUCCESSFUL
34	SPA21297	Atlantic Choice Trading (Pty) Ltd		62,12		UNSUCCESSFUL
35	SPA21331	BAYETE FISHING (PTY) LTD		37,18		UNSUCCESSFUL
36	SPA21395	Beyond Fishing (PTY) Ltd		37,24		UNSUCCESSFUL
37	SPA21215	BHH UKULوبا FISHING (PTY) LTD		44,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
38	SPA21209	BIKUTULA FISHING ENTERPRISE LIMITED		36,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
39	SPA21385	Blink Waters Primary Co-Operative Limited		39,51		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
40	SPA21135	BM Fisheries Pty Ltd		51,98		UNSUCCESSFUL
41	SPA21250	BORDERLINE INDUSTRIES (PTY)LTD		41,02		UNSUCCESSFUL
42	SPA21227	Bowline Fishing Veldriff		36,22		UNSUCCESSFUL
43	SPA21136	Buccaneer Fishing (Pty) Ltd		50,88		UNSUCCESSFUL
44	SPA21383	Buffeljagsbaai Projects ( PTY) LTD		38,38		UNSUCCESSFUL
45	SPA21271	Bulumko Marine (Pty) Ltd		51,81		UNSUCCESSFUL
46	SPA21225	BUSINESS COMPLIANCE ADVISORS (PTY) Ltd		60,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
47	SPA21120	Camissa Fishing (Pty)Ltd		39,41		UNSUCCESSFUL
48	SPA21381	Cape Point Holdings (PTY) LTD		29,69		UNSUCCESSFUL
49	SPA21270	Castle Hill Fishing Company (Pty) Ltd		47,67		UNSUCCESSFUL
50	SPA21394	CEBOLETU FISHING (PTY) LTD		39,05		UNSUCCESSFUL
51	SPA21372	CEDESTIAL ENTERPRISES		32,34		UNSUCCESSFUL
52	SPA21392	CHALLENGER FISHERIES (PTY) LTD		40,93		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
53	SPA21265	Colombine Community Projects		34,05		UNSUCCESSFUL
54	SPA21196	CORDELIA WEST COAST MARINE		41,49		UNSUCCESSFUL
55	SPA21412	Cutlass Fishing (Pty)Ltd		38,80		UNSUCCESSFUL
56	SPA21194	DAMASCUS HOLDING		30,90		UNSUCCESSFUL
57	SPA21023	Decon foods (Pty) Ltd		37,97		UNSUCCESSFUL
58	SPA21324	Die Lighuis Vissers Vroue (Pty) Ltd		37,89		UNSUCCESSFUL
59	SPA21104	Dikela Holdings (PTY) LTD		51,43		UNSUCCESSFUL
60	SPA21113	Dormex 149 (Pty) Ltd		50,95		UNSUCCESSFUL
61	SPA21180	Dried Ocean Products (Pty) Ltd		63,05		UNSUCCESSFUL
62	SPA21264	Elethu Fishing (Pty) Ltd		41,70		UNSUCCESSFUL
63	SPA21307	Eumar Fishing (Pty) Ltd		32,51		UNSUCCESSFUL
64	SPA21014	Fishermen Community of Sea Vista (Pty) Ltd		52,32		UNSUCCESSFUL
65	SPA21119	FNT Enterprises (Pty) Ltd		45,16		UNSUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
66	SPA21028	G and G Fisheries		43,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
67	SPA21121	GAIA FSHING (PTY) LTD		39,53		UNSUCCESSFUL
68	SPA21389	Gansbaai Abalone(Pty)Ltd		37,28		UNSUCCESSFUL
69	SPA21247	GENISIS FISHING (PTY) LTD		32,18		UNSUCCESSFUL
70	SPA21219	GLOBAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES (PTY) LTD		53,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
71	SPA21102	Go Fish Enterprises (Pty) Ltd		43,55		UNSUCCESSFUL
72	SPA21332	GOUD RIWWE VISSERVROUE (PTY) LTD		45,93		UNSUCCESSFUL
73	SPA21281	Guriqua Xam Development Corporation (PTY) Ltd		40,88		UNSUCCESSFUL
74	SPA21203	HARRYS BAY MARINE (PTY) LTD		48,02		UNSUCCESSFUL
75	SPA21262	HAWSTON SEA MOUNTAIN (PTY) LTD		41,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
76	SPA21280	Hillmore Fishing (Pty) Ltd		29,85		UNSUCCESSFUL
77	SPA21021	Hook and line fresh (pty)ltd		35,80		UNSUCCESSFUL
78	SPA21358	Ibhayi Sea Food Wholesalers		65,76		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
79	SPA21291	IMBO FISHING (PTY) LTD		39,94		UNSUCCESSFUL
80	SPA21200	Imperial Crown Trading 398 (Pty) Ltd		62,32		UNSUCCESSFUL
81	SPA21365	IMPROCARE134		45,47		UNSUCCESSFUL
82	SPA21245	Inxweme Lentlanzi Distributors		39,21		UNSUCCESSFUL
83	SPA21016	Iqhawe Fishing (PTY) Ltd		57,32		UNSUCCESSFUL
84	SPA21181	IZEMBE TRADING 78 CC		64,78		UNSUCCESSFUL
85	SPA21319	J C M FISHING PTY LTD		41,35		UNSUCCESSFUL
86	SPA21328	K J M FISHERIES		40,77		UNSUCCESSFUL
87	SPA21403	K2021581204		29,69		UNSUCCESSFUL
88	SPA21396	K2021931076		29,69		UNSUCCESSFUL
89	SPA21377	Kaytrad Commodities Pty Ltd		60,99		UNSUCCESSFUL
90	SPA21283	KERRYKEEL INVESTMENTS 518 PTY LTD		31,40		UNSUCCESSFUL
91	SPA21310	KHOLWA FISHING (PTY) LTD		47,20		UNSUCCESSFUL



### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
92	SPA21314	KUMKANI FISHING PTY LTD		40,03		UNSUCCESSFUL
93	SPA21048	L and A Empire Holdings (Pty) Ltd		38,32		UNSUCCESSFUL
94	SPA21082	La Vie Seafood Products (Pty) Ltd		43,56		UNSUCCESSFUL
95	SPA21100	Lateral Anchor Brands (Pty) Ltd		56,93		UNSUCCESSFUL
96	SPA21070	LCMCM (PTY) LTD		50,82		UNSUCCESSFUL
97	SPA21158	LILITHA AND LUBANZI ENTERPRISES (PTY) LTD		50,26		UNSUCCESSFUL
98	SPA21330	Limilo Fishers (Pty) Ltd		34,69		UNSUCCESSFUL
99	SPA21326	Mamjoli Marine Enterprise		30,97		UNSUCCESSFUL
100	SPA21018	MAMLAMBO FISHING (PTY) LTD		58,65		UNSUCCESSFUL
101	SPA21402	MandlaWelding And Fabrication(PTY)Ltd		25,00		UNSUCCESSFUL
102	SPA21217	Maqajana Fishing (Pty) ltd		38,13		UNSUCCESSFUL
103	SPA21226	MARINE EMPOWERMENT (PTY) LTD		58,20		UNSUCCESSFUL
104	SPA21268	MASALA FISHING (PTY) LTD		49,54		UNSUCCESSFUL

### Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
105	SPA21045	MCR Fishing (Pty) Ltd		47,36		UNSUCCESSFUL
106	SPA21214	Meatrite Goodwood (Pty) Ltd		44,30		UNSUCCESSFUL
107	SPA21156	Misty Sea Trading 350 (Pty) Ltd		44,25		UNSUCCESSFUL
108	SPA21030	MJLN GROUP (PTY) LTD		50,44		UNSUCCESSFUL
109	SPA21031	Mohzeen Trading (Pty) Ltd		51,35		UNSUCCESSFUL
110	SPA21143	Mossel Bay Fishing (Pty) Ltd		64,45		UNSUCCESSFUL
111	SPA21125	Mossfish		45,63		UNSUCCESSFUL
112	SPA21153	MTYINGIZANA FISHING (PTY) LTD		39,07		UNSUCCESSFUL
113	SPA21348	Muke Construction & Projects		20,16		UNSUCCESSFUL
114	SPA21259	Nekwaya and Company Fishing (Pty) Ltd		35,88		UNSUCCESSFUL
115	SPA21140	Nelson The Seagull (Pty) Ltd		40,95		UNSUCCESSFUL
116	SPA21161	Newborn Fishing (Pty) Ltd		37,01		UNSUCCESSFUL
117	SPA21367	Nompumelelo Solutions (Pty) Ltd		37,94		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
118	SPA21311	NOMZAPROJECTS (PTY) LTD		50,70		UNSUCCESSFUL
119	SPA21149	NONTOZIKHOYO GENERAL TRADING (PTY) LTD		50,52		UNSUCCESSFUL
120	SPA21277	Nossab Vervoer (Pty Ltd)		56,01		UNSUCCESSFUL
121	SPA21337	Olegado Holdings Pty Ltd		25,75		UNSUCCESSFUL
122	SPA21241	OPPIBALL TRADING AND INVESTMENTS PTY LTD		42,83		UNSUCCESSFUL
123	SPA21415	Oppie vis kaai visserye(PTY)LTD		36,26		UNSUCCESSFUL
124	SPA21315	Oppikaai Restaurant (Pty)Ltd		33,97		UNSUCCESSFUL
125	SPA21410	Orange River Fishing Pty Ltd		30,52		UNSUCCESSFUL
126	SPA21393	PHAMBILE MAKHOSIKAZI (PTY) LTD		32,98		UNSUCCESSFUL
127	SPA21397	Pirah Trading Enterprise		20,00		UNSUCCESSFUL
128	SPA21132	PLAN SEA (PTY) LTD		24,31		UNSUCCESSFUL
129	SPA21210	Premium Seafood International (Pty) Ltd		58,87		UNSUCCESSFUL
130	SPA21213	RUNTU EMPLOYESS (PTY) LTD		34,21		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
131	SPA21382	Saldanha Bay Fishing Industry		42,33		UNSUCCESSFUL
132	SPA21177	SEA SPRAY MARINE (PTY) LTD		40,04		UNSUCCESSFUL
133	SPA21404	SEA WOMEN INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD		34,12		UNSUCCESSFUL
134	SPA21333	SEAGULL ZONE (PTY) LTD		28,74		UNSUCCESSFUL
135	SPA21296	Shamode Trading and Investments (Pty) Ltd		37,47		UNSUCCESSFUL
136	SPA21390	Shark Diving Gansbaai CC		46,42		UNSUCCESSFUL
137	SPA21263	SHONALANGA FISHERIES CC		52,39		UNSUCCESSFUL
138	SPA21357	Shopmate Fish Traders cc		29,54		UNSUCCESSFUL
139	SPA21408	SHQ HOLDINGS		30,31		UNSUCCESSFUL
140	SPA21006	SIHLANGENE FISHING (PTY) LTD		36,81		UNSUCCESSFUL
141	SPA21411	Sikulugele Ilshishini		32,68		UNSUCCESSFUL
142	SPA21151	SINGAMANDLA BAFAZI FISHING (PTY) LTD		51,44		UNSUCCESSFUL
143	SPA21187	South African Fishmeal and Protein Company (Pty) Ltd		60,38		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
144	SPA21342	SPASIBA PTY LTD		20,00		UNSUCCESSFUL
145	SPA21321	SPOT - ON DEALS FORTY ONE CC		55,70		UNSUCCESSFUL
146	SPA21308	Tahiti Fishing (PTY) ltd		38,94		UNSUCCESSFUL
147	SPA21378	TCB FISHING ENTERPRISES (PTY) LTD		32,25		UNSUCCESSFUL
148	SPA21216	The Network of Training Cape		52,90		UNSUCCESSFUL
149	SPA21242	The Rock Fishing Pty Ltd		44,46		UNSUCCESSFUL
150	SPA21401	TIDE SIDE PROCESSORS (PTY) LTD.		35,98		UNSUCCESSFUL
151	SPA21110	TRADE WIND TRADING (PTY) LTD		56,02		UNSUCCESSFUL
152	SPA21354	TUBBY TRANSPORT (PTY) LTD		37,60		UNSUCCESSFUL
153	SPA21208	Turquoise Fishing (PTY) ITD		49,54		UNSUCCESSFUL
154	SPA21085	Ukudoba Marine (Pty) Ltd		30,26		UNSUCCESSFUL
155	SPA21346	Ukuloba Pescar Fishing Enterprise Pty(Ltd)		33,08		UNSUCCESSFUL
156	SPA21316	UKUQALA TRADING CC		60,49		UNSUCCESSFUL



**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
157	SPA21114	Umfana Fishing		35,46		UNSUCCESSFUL
158	SPA21193	UMNATHA FISHING (PTY) LTD		37,28		UNSUCCESSFUL
159	SPA21147	UPCOMING MARINE		29,01		UNSUCCESSFUL
160	SPA21339	UYEKRAAL BELEGGINGS (PTY) LTD		27,55		UNSUCCESSFUL
161	SPA21386	VALOTYPE 76 CC		24,05		UNSUCCESSFUL
162	SPA21370	Villa De Wilmaresa		28,56		UNSUCCESSFUL
163	SPA21133	Walker Bay Pelagies		48,13		UNSUCCESSFUL
164	SPA21124	WALMER SARDINE PROCESSORS (Pty) Ltd		58,79		UNSUCCESSFUL
165	SPA21186	West Coast Ranch Projects and Consulting (Pty)Ltd		43,28		UNSUCCESSFUL
166	SPA21049	WESTSHORE FISHING (PTY) LTD		66,62		UNSUCCESSFUL
167	SPA21062	Witsands Fishing CC		63,60		UNSUCCESSFUL
168	SPA21258	XCOT (PTY) Ltd		45,69		UNSUCCESSFUL
169	SPA21269	YOUR STYLE FASHIONS AND HOMEWARE (PTY) LTD		37,92		UNSUCCESSFUL

**Fishing Rights Allocation Process 2021: Final list for Small pelagic (Anchovy): Category C applicants /appellants**

No	Application Number	Applicant name	Allocated vessel	Score (%)	Allocation	Final decision
170	SPA21351	Zanozuko Fishing		38,61		UNSUCCESSFUL
171	SPA21093	Zwembesi Farm (Pty) Ltd		57,94		UNSUCCESSFUL
172	SPA21304	CAPE AGULHAS MARINE (PTY) LTD		41,67		UNSUCCESSFUL
173	SPA21251	STRUISBAAI VISSERSVERENIGING		41,89		UNSUCCESSFUL
174	SPA21094	Ulwandle Lwethu Fishing CC		37,79		UNSUCCESSFUL